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SUMMARY

Following the Rift Valley fever (RVF) epizootic of 1987 in the Senegal River basin, 2 series of serosurveys were carried out. In 1988 and 1989, respectively, 303 and 331 randomly selected sheep and goats were investigated and sera tested for the presence of specific RVF-virus-neutralizing antibodies.

In 1988, 24.4 % of the sera was found to have anti-RVF neutralizing antibodies and in 1989, 19.3 % was found. In 1988, we observed in the Dagana district, including the 1987 epizootic area, a significantly higher prevalence (7.1 %) than in the two other more distant districts of Podor (21.5 %) and Matam (9.7 %). From 1988 to 1989, the antibody seroprevalence dropped significantly from 71.7 to 23.9 % within the Dagana district. Young animals showed a significantly lower antibody prevalence (7.9 %, N = 114) than adults (25.3 %, N = 2171).

RVF virus circulated at a low level in 1988-89 without any epizootic manifestation. The population turnover generated an important non-immune population potentially at risk.
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INTRODUCTION

Rift Valley fever (RVF) is an African arboviral zoonosis that is transmitted by mosquitoes. Severe epizootic and epidemic manifestations have occurred during the past two decades in Africa (Peters and Meegan, 1988).

Epizootics are precursor manifestations of epidemics (Ksiacek et al., 1989; Lancelot et al., 1989). Enzootic maintenance of the virus is dependent on physical factors related to the relative abundance of potential vectors and hosts (Davies et al., 1985; Peters and Meegan, 1988).

Despite the degree of knowledge of such risk factors within a specific area, an intensive serosurvey of domestic ruminants remains the most convenient way to detect any RVF virus activity even at a low level. Moreover, there is a need for a longitudinal study in order to understand the real impact of the virus on livestock in tropical Africa.

Recent epidemic and epizootic manifestations in the southern Mauritania (Jouan et al., 1989) prompted us to start a serosurvey of RVF in domestic ungulates from the Senegal River basin and to annually assess the risk for the non-immune populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The delta, lower and middle Senegal River Basin (fig. 1) including the 1987 area of major epidemic and epizootic manifestation, were targeted to identify any change in the rate of specific RVF virus antibody prevalence. The localities under study are all included in the Sahelian edaphic zone of West Africa with an annual rainfall of less than 600 mm, and are described in detail elsewhere (Jouan et al., 1989; Guillaud et al., 1989).

Sampling method

Sheep and goats randomly selected were bled in August 1988 and 1989, at the beginning of the rainy season, which lasts for 3 to 4 months. Blood samples were drawn by jugular venipuncture, sera decanted following clot formation and stored at -20°C prior to laboratory testing.

Neutralizing test

Neutralizing antibody test was performed using Vero monolayer cells infected with a viral suspension of titre 10^6.5 PFU/ml at a dilution of 1/1,600 RVF virus Smithburn strain. In accordance with a previously described method, antibody-positive sera were determined by the lack of cytopathogenic effect at the serum dilution of 1/160 (Davies et al., 1988).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In 1988, there was a highly significant difference between the RVF antibody prevalence in the Dagana district (71.7%) and the two others, Podor (21.5%) and Matam (9.7%) (p < 0.001 by Chi-square test). Consequently, a negative gradient of RVF virus antibody prevalence from the delta to the upper Senegal River basin was observed. The Dagana district, which is closely related to southern Mauritania where a major epidemic was recorded in 1987, showed the highest positive rate. Moreover, the highest antibody prevalence in small ruminants was found by Kizake et al. (1989) in the same Dagana area on both sides of the river, compared to the coastal area and middle and upper river basin where RVF antibody prevalence remained significantly lower. In 1989, a significant difference no longer existed (p > 0.05). From 1988 to 1989, the RVF antibody prevalence dropped globally.

Fig. 1. Map of locality where animal populations are surveyed for RVF in the Senegal River basin.

Darkened area on the map represents the major enzootic and epizootic region recorded during the 1987 RVF outbreak. Dashed lines stand for district limits. Study localities: 1 = Rainadé, 2 = Rosso, 3 = Colonat, 4 = Niassante, 5 = Thillé Boubacar, 6 = Podor, 7 = NDioum, 8 = Déré Lao, 9 = Goudoude, 10 = Oumossougi, 11 = Kanel.

1 = Dagana district including the delta and lower Senegal River basin, II and III = Podor and Matam districts including the middle Senegal River basin and part of the upper Senegal River basin upstream from Matam.

RVF = Rift Valley fever. ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
from 24.4% to 19.3% (table 1). For the Dagana district, only the loss of neutralizing antibody was significant, from 71.7 to 23.9% (p < 0.001).

A more detailed analysis done on the 1989 serum samples (table II) showed no significant difference in antibody prevalence (p > 0.05) between sheep and goats, as previously observed in this area by Guillaud et al. (1988) using an ELISA test. However, young animals had a significantly lower antibody prevalence (7.9%, N = 114, x = 9) than adults (25.3%, N = 217, x = 55) (p < 0.001). This last observation can be explained by a change in the structure of the population, with older animals being slaughtered first, thus decreasing the immune population, and herders favouring intensive animal reproduction to recover the dramatic loss by abortion from the 1987 epizootic (Lancelot et al., 1989), thereby increasing the non-immune population.

On one hand, low level RVF virus activity was observed on detecting IgM seropositive sheep during the interepizootic period in the Senegal River basin (Saluzzo et al., 1987; Gonzalez et al., 1989), and on the other hand, in the present paper, a dramatic increase in the non-immune population during these two past years is shown. Conclusively, the declining antibody protection in the flocks and the presence of RVF virus in the Senegal River basin, increases the risk of an epizootic, and hence an epidemic, in the coming rainy seasons in relation to vector activity.

Table 1. Prevalence of RVF-virus-neutralizing antibodies in small ruminants from the Senegal River basin in 1988.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department of origin</th>
<th>1988</th>
<th>1989</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dagana</td>
<td>28/39 (71.7)</td>
<td>38/159 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podor</td>
<td>37/172 (21.5)</td>
<td>18/115 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matam</td>
<td>9/92 (9.7)</td>
<td>8/57 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>74/303 (24.4)</td>
<td>64/331 (19.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results shown = positive/total tested (%).

Table II. Comparative seroprevalence on small ruminants by species, age and origin in the Senegal River basin in 1989.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sheep</th>
<th>Goat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dagana</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>14/43 (32.6)</td>
<td>22/58 (37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>2/39 (5.1)</td>
<td>0/19 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Podor</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>8/56 (14.3)</td>
<td>3/17 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>6/33 (18.2)</td>
<td>1/9 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matam</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>6/24 (25.0)</td>
<td>2/19 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>0/11 (0.0)</td>
<td>0/3 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>28/123 (22.7)</td>
<td>27/94 (28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>8/83 (9.6)</td>
<td>1/31 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>36/206 (17.4)</td>
<td>28/125 (22.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results shown = positive/total tested (%).
Le renouvellement rapide de la population non immune de petits ruminants représente un risque potentiel épizootique et secondairement épidémique dans cette zone sahélienne d’enzootie connue.
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